



## BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

Office of the  
Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike  
N.R Square, Bangalore

No: DCF/PR.-2237/2024-25

Date: 20.03.2025

To,

The Defence Estates Officer,  
Karnataka Circle,  
Bengaluru.

### OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

Sub: Regarding the permission for Retention, Translocation and Removal of trees which are standing at the campus of Air Force Station, Jalahalli Project area for 'Construction of New Infrastructures viz., (a) Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School, Air Force School and (b) Addition/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru- reg

Ref: a) **BAN/LANDS/113/E-AUC/AF/1/82 dtd. 03.05.2024**  
b) **JAL/1501/396/Wks dtd 31.08.2024**  
b) Member Secretary, TEC and ACF Letter No. ACF/PR.105/2024-25 dtd 25.02.2025 along with Report and related documents of Tree Expert Committee

\* \* \* \* \*

1. The Defence Estates Officer, Karnataka Circle, Bengaluru had submitted application under Sections 8 (2) and 8 (3) (vii) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 regarding removal of 101 trees for 'Construction of New Infrastructures viz., (a) Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School, Air Force School and (b) Addition/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru, Bengaluru.

Further as per Air Force Station, Jalahalli letter no AFS/Jalahalli/2318/22/Org BM-II dtd 23.08.2024 emphasised that that the Construction of New Infrastructure at the Air Force, Jalahalli, has 02 Components. The details and the importance of the proposals are as follows:

- i. Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School/Air Force School, Air Force Station, Jalahalli (East) and

- ii. Addition/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall at Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru.

A. Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School at Air Force School, Air Force Station, Jalahalli (East)

The requirement to construct separate building with 22 class rooms has emerged on upgradation of the School to Secondary Level with all three streams with increased strength to impart standard Learning besides bringing effective management in school administration.

B. Addition/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru

The existing security wall is in a dilapidated condition at several places and poses security threat to this Defence Establishment. This mandates alteration and restrengthening of the existing boundary wall.

The Public Notice dated 10.06.2024 was issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike as per Section 8 (3) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act 1976 (as amended in 2015) with the intention to invite objections/remarks from public.

In this context, the Tree Officer has confirmed that no objection/post has been received from the public in response to the said public notice. Further, the Tree Officer, BBMP also emphasized that the first priority of the Forest authorities will be to save and retain more number of trees at the spot/site itself and in case that is not possible, the next option would be translocation of such trees which fulfill the desired criteria like having suitable girth, satisfactory status/health condition of the tree, feasibility of root-ball excavation of appropriate size. Subsequently the felling of the trees has to be last resort. The Compensatory Afforestation is also stipulated through planting of saplings in the ration 1:10 i.e., 10 saplings to be planted in lieu of each tree translocated/felled (i.e., in the ratio 1:10).

The concerned Field Forest Officer has carried out inspections on 02.09.2024 and submitted the connected Mahazar and Report related to 101 trees. The ACF/DCF visited the areas on 12.09.2024 & 13.09.2024 and had submitted the preliminary Assessment Report related to 101 trees. The field inspection for assessment of 101 trees, out of which 63 trees are standing within the project area at the campus of Air Force School for the proposed Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School and 38 trees are standing within the project area of the boundary wall for Additional/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall, Air Force Station was

carried out by the TEC on 21.10.2024. The concerned Representatives of Air Force Station, Jalahalli and Forest Officers of BBMP were present at the project area with all necessary documents.

During the field inspection on 21.10.2024, 22 additional trees were found standing within the project area of the boundary wall for Additional/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall, Air Force Station. Therefore all the above said  $[63 + (38 + 22)] = 123$  standing trees at the project area were assessed. The Committee followed the norms of conducting field inspection.

At the Project Area, during the course of Field Inspections, the following activities were carried out by the TEC for assessment of each tree.

- i. Physical verification of the tree number and the associated information collected by the Forest Department Officers in Template 2 Part-I, including tree health / tree defects and general assessment as per provision under Section 8 (3) of the KPT Act, 1976.
- ii. Confirmation regarding those trees being inside the project area and standing at the construction activity sites/spots.
- iii. Review of assessment of trees as per the entries made by the Tree Officer in Template 2 Part-II.
- iv. Discussions with the Air Force Station Jalahalli Authorities to explore possibility of carrying out the construction activities without removal of trees and identification of such trees which can be retained-on-site as this is considered as first priority.
- v. Assessment of the general conditions of the trees to decide the feasibility of its translocation/transplantation in case of retention-on-site not possible, as that being the next option.
- vi. Recording of TEC's remarks and recommendations for on-site retention/translocation/felling of trees.

The TEC had thorough discussions with the Air Force Station Jalahalli authorities regarding execution and construction activities without removal of trees and identifying the trees which can be retained-on-site with respect to alignment, design and plan. As per field inspection, out of the total 123 trees; 43 trees [26 trees (All Enumerated) standing at the campus of Air Force School and 17 trees (06 Enumerated + 11 Additionally Numbered)] standing along the Security

Boundary Wall] of the project area have been identified for retention-on-site as they are not getting affected by the development activities.

Therefore as verified during the field inspection, the remaining 80 trees [(101-26-06) = 69 Enumerated + (22-11) = 11 Additionally Numbered)] will have to be suggested either for translocation or felling as they are standing within the proposed following physical features of the Project as per Air Force Station, Jalahalli letter no AFS JALAHALLI/2318/22/Org BM-II dtd 18.12.2024.

Sl. No.	Physical features	Tree Nos	Location
1.	Construction of 22 Class Rooms and other Amenities, Air Force School Building	<p>a) Tree No. 22 to Tree No. 31 = 10 Nos.</p> <p>b) Tree No. 35 to Tree No. 47 = 13 Nos.</p> <p>c) Tree No.09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55 &amp; 63 = 14 Nos</p> <p>Sub-total (a + b + c) = 37 Nos.</p>	Campus of Air Force School, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru.
2.	Trees to be removed for Security Boundary Wall (Raa Case)	<p>a) Tree No. 64 to Tree No. 93 = 30 Nos</p> <p>b) Tree Nos. 64/1, 64/2, 69/1, 71/1, 82/1, 86/1 &amp; 87/1 = 07 Nos.</p> <p>c) Tree No. 100, 101, 101/1, 101/2, 101/3 &amp; 101/4 = 06 Nos</p> <p>Sub-total (a + b + c) = 43 Nos.</p>	<p>Billet No. P-92 to VSML at ETI Campus, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru.</p> <p>Officer's Enclave, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru.</p>
<b>Grand Total = Total I + II = 80 trees</b>			

Since these 80 trees are standing right in the construction zone and will be hindering the project activities, their removal becomes inevitable.

- The next option considered by the TEC in case of those trees which could not be retained-on-site was translocation.

Having concluded that the retention of the above mentioned 80 trees are not possible, the TEC chose the next option of translocation of trees and assessed the suitability of each of these trees. In doing so, the TEC considered the following conditions, in addition to verification of the tree health / tree defects, etc..

- i. Proximity of tree to building structures, trunks proximity to the cement / concrete or tarred surface so as to examine the feasibility of extraction of root-ball of appropriate size;
- ii. The natural characteristics and aspects of species viz., ecologically and economically important species; species that could provide food (nectar, pollen, seeds and fruits) and nesting sources (materials and site) to various fauna.
- iii. The trees having below mentioned characteristics do not qualify for translocation.

Trees having multi-forked trunk, major wounds on the trunk, debarking, physical damage on the bark, scar due to fire, damage (girdling), rotting due to fungal infection (fruiting bodies of fungus, rotten core, hollowness) or pest infestation (presence of holes and frass as evidence of insect infestation), and dead / dried major branches, etc..

Taking into consideration the above mentioned assessment attributes, the TEC found that 04 trees at the said area are suitable for translocation.

Ultimately, the remaining 76 trees [(33 (All Enumerated) standing at the campus of Air Force School and 43 trees (32 Enumerated + 11 Additionally Numbered) standing along the Security Boundary Wall] existing within the project area, which were not found to be suitable either for retention on-site or for translocation, will have to be removed/felled as a last resort.

Having completed the above assessment of trees at the project area, the Committee also inspected the location/area which was identified by the Authorities of the Air Force Station, Jalahalli for translocation of trees and recommended by the Tree Officer/DCF, BBMP as proposed area for translocation of trees.

*Location Site – Vacant space inside the campus of Air Force School, Jalahalli,  
Bengaluru*

3. The Tree Officer has stated that Air Force Station, Jalahalli Letter No AFS/JALAHALLI /2318 /22/Org BM-II dtd 18.12.2024 issued by the Group Captain, Chief Administrative Officer in

which they have furnished the required particulars of the said translocation area identified besides mentioning the Specific Receptor Sites Coordinates for the 04 trees to be translocated.

The TEC deliberated and concurred with the recommendations of the Tree Officer and DCF, BBMP regarding the tree translocation details including specific receptor sites coordinates.

The TEC opined that translocation of trees can be done in the proposed receptor sites in accordance with the advice and procedure as rendered by UAS, Bangalore.

The TEC carried out a thorough and multipronged scrutiny of all the 123 trees to make its recommendations regarding:

- a) Trees which could be saved by retaining on-site as it is;
- b) Trees which should be translocated depending upon their general condition as assessed and ecological importance, in the event of (a) above not being possible;
- c) Trees recommended for removal in the event of (a) and (b) not being possible including the trees which are silviculturally matured, softwood trees and trees suffering from defects /damages.

### **ORDER**

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercise of the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 and based on the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection and proceedings of the Meeting dated 17.12.2024 of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation, and removal of trees which are standing at the Campus of Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru for Air Force Station Jalahalli Project. The below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order will come into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website of BBMP and for that purpose separate directions will be issued from this Office.

### **SCHEDULE**

1. The Forty three (43) trees which are listed with remarks, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure A can be retained-on-site. Hence permission is declined to remove the above said 43 trees and they should continue to stand at their present locations.

2. Based on the considerations, the Four (04) trees which are listed with remarks, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B have to be translocated. Hence permission is accorded to translocate the said 04 trees to suitable places as mentioned below in the 'Conditions'.
3. The remaining Seventy Six (76) trees which are listed with remarks, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C can be removed/felled. Hence permission is accorded for removal of the said 76 trees only as per the felling of trees norms adopted by Karnataka Forest Department (KFD).

### **Conditions**

1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
2. The trees which are retained-on-site have to be properly protected and maintained. Accordingly Air Force Station, Jalahalli should give an assurance in this respect.
3. The translocation of trees should be done at the following proposed locations in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP.

*Location Site – Vacant space inside the campus of Air Force School, Jalahalli, Bengaluru*

4. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.
5. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the formulated guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
6. Any objections against the above Order of the Tree Officer, BBMP under Section 14 of the KPT Act 1976, an appeal can be made to the Tree Authority, Bengaluru.
7. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care of, for a minimum period of three years.
8. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
9. As per the Section 10 of KPT Act 1976, which provides that where any tree has fallen or destroyed due to force of nature or other natural causes, requires to plant a tree or trees in place of the tree so fallen or destroyed.

10. In lieu of the trees translocated and felled, 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted in lieu of each tree either translocated or felled. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of five years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be submitted for saplings/seedlings planted.
11. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.



Tree Officer and  
Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,  
Bengaluru

**Copy to:**

1. The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information
2. The Member Secretary – Tree Expect Committee, and the Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
3. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action
4. The Range Forest Officers/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action
5. Office Copy



## LIST OF TREES FOR RETENTION

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (in Mtr)	Height (in Mtr)	Remarks
<b>Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School, Air Force School, Air Force Station, Jalahalli</b>					
1.	1	Peltophorum sp.	1.85	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
2.	2	Peltophorum sp.	1.31	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
3.	3	Peltophorum sp.	2.10	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
4.	4	Peltophorum sp.	1.88	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
5.	5	Peltophorum sp.	2.55	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
6.	6	Peltophorum sp.	1.48	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
7.	7	Peltophorum sp.	1.48	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
8.	8	Peltophorum sp.	1.93	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
9.	15	Goni mara	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
10.	16	Seemathangadi	1.00	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	16A		0.78	1.00	
11.	17	Seemathangadi	0.75	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	17A		0.85	1.00	
12.	18	Seemathangadi	0.79	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
13.	19	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	1.38	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
14.	20	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	1.25	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
15.	21	Peltophorum sp.	2.65	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
16.	32	Tamarind ( <i>Tamarindus indica</i> )	2.23	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.

17.	33	Spathodea sp.	1.48	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
18.	34	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	1.20	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	34A		1.15	1.00	
19.	48	Guava ( <i>Psidium guajava</i> )	0.22	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	48A		0.30	1.00	
20.	56	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	1.40	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
21.	57	Spathodea sp.	1.83	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
22.	58	Aala ( <i>Ficus benghalensis</i> )	0.23	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
23.	59	Aala ( <i>Ficus benghalensis</i> )	0.48	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	59A		0.40	2.00	
24.	60	Aala ( <i>Ficus benghalensis</i> )	0.38	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
25.	61	Spethodea sp.	0.50	1.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	61A		0.55	1.50	
26.	62	Acacia sp.	0.53	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.

**Addition/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru.**

27.	64/3	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.82	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	64/3A		0.70	1.00	
	64/3B		0.50	1.00	
	64/3C		0.30	1.00	
28.	65/1	Sandalwood ( <i>Santalum album</i> )	0.40	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	65/1A		0.25	1.00	
29.	65/2	Bilwara ( <i>Albizia odoratissima</i> )	1.80	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
30.	65/3	Sandalwood ( <i>Santalum album</i> )	0.85	1.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
31.	69/2	Bili Jali ( <i>Acacia leucophloea</i> )	1.70	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
32.	71/2	Sandalwood ( <i>Santalum album</i> )	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.

33.	71/3	Sandalwood ( <i>Santalum album</i> )	0.35	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	71/3A		0.30	1.00	
	71/3B		0.25	1.00	
34.	71/4	Neem ( <i>Azadirachta indica</i> )	0.80	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
35.	79/1 79/1A 79/1B 79/1C	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.40/ 0.30/ 0.30/ 0.25	1.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
36.	79/2	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.90	1.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
37.	83/1 83/1A 83/1B 83/1C	Zizyphus sp.	0.35/ 0.30/ 0.30/ 0.25	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
38.	94	Jungle	1.25	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	94A		0.50	1.00	
	94B		0.80	1.00	
39.	95	Jungle	1.50	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
40.	96	Jungle	2.00	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
41.	97	Neem ( <i>Azadirachta indica</i> )	0.90	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
42.	98	Jungle	0.65	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	98A		1.30	1.00	
43.	99	Jungle	1.30	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area, and recommended for retention.
	99A		1.15	1.00	
Total number of trees for Retention-on-site = 43 Nos.					



Tree Officer &  
Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
BBMP, Bengaluru



## LIST OF TREES FOR TRANSLOCATION

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (in Mtr)	Height (in Mtr)	Remarks
<b>Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School, Air Force School, Air Force Station, Jalahalli</b>					
1.	23	Peepal tree ( <i>Ficus religiosa</i> )	1.05	2.50	The tree is healthy, and is standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
2.	24	Mango ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> )	0.68	2.00	The tree is healthy, and is standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
3.	45	Peepal ( <i>Ficus religiosa</i> )	1.23	3.00	The tree is healthy, and is standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
4.	53	Eechalu	0.95	2.00	The tree is healthy, and is standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
<b>Total number of trees found suitable for Translocation= 04 Nos.</b>					



Tree Officer &  
Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
BBMP, Bengaluru



## LIST OF TREES FOR FELLING

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (in Mtr)	Height (in Mtr)	Remarks
<b>Provision of deficient Infrastructure for Children School, Air Force School, Air Force Station, Jalahalli</b>					
1.	9	Casuarina sp.	1.55	2.00	The tree has fallen (categorised under felling).
2.	10	Cassia sp.	0.64	2.00	The tree is decayed at the base, and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
3.	11 11A	Cassia sp.	0.55 0.53	1.00 1.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
4.	12	Mango tree ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> )	1.20	1.50	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for entry / exit road (of 7.8m). In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
5.	13 13A	Peltophorum sp.	1.85 2.45	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
6.	14	Peltophorum sp.	2.20	2.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
7.	22 22A	Seemathangadi	0.80 0.30	1.50 1.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
8.	25	Ashoka ( <i>Polalthia</i> sp.)	0.83	3.00	The tree is decayed (compartmentalised) at the base, and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
9.	26	Spathodea sp.	0.80	1.50	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and

	26A		0.40	2.00	standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
10.	27	Jamun ( <i>Syzygium</i> sp.)	2.05	2.50	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
11.	28	Peltophorum sp.	1.90	2.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
12.	29	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea</i> <i>saman</i> )	0.78	2.50	The tree roots are exposed (limits the required root ball). The tree is standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
13.	30	Dead tree	1.05	2.00	The tree is dried (categorised under felling).
14.	31 31A 31A	Seemathangad i	1.10 0.40 1.15	1.00 1.00 1.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
15.	35 35A 35B 35C 35D 35E 35F 35G	Seemathangad i	0.38 0.55 0.40 0.20 0.20 0.50 0.30 0.15	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	The tree is multi-forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
16.	36	Seemathangadi	0.65	2.00	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 35) within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
17.	37	Ashoka ( <i>Polyalthia</i> sp.)	0.45	3.00	The tree is standing (conjoined with tree no. 38) within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.



18.	38	Seemathangadi	0.48	1.50	The tree is standing (conjoined with tree no. 37) within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
	38A		0.40	1.50	
19.	39	Seemathangadi	0.43	2.00	The tree is standing (conjoined with tree no. 38) within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
20.	40	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	1.00	2.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
21.	41	Honge ( <i>Pongamia pinnata</i> )	0.93	1.50	The tree is matured, forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
	41A		0.70	1.00	
22.	42	Ashoka ( <i>Polyalthia sp.</i> )	0.35	3.00	The tree is decayed, and standing within the project area. The tree is recommended for felling.
23.	43	Peepal ( <i>Ficus religiosa</i> )	2.08	3.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
24.	44	Spathodea sp.	0.93	1.50	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
	44A		1.03	2.00	
25.	46	Ashoka ( <i>Polyalthia sp.</i> )	0.60	2.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
	46A		0.45	2.00	
26.	47	Eucalyptus sp.	2.95	5.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.

27.	49	Spathodea sp.	0.20	2.00	The tree has fallen (categorised under felling).
28.	50 50A	Seemathangadi	0.28 0.30	1.00 1.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
29.	51 51A	Ashoka (Polyalthia sp.)	0.45 0.34	2.00 2.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
30.	52 52A	Ashoka (Cassia)	0.23 0.20	2.00 2.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union prone for decay, and failure of the branch), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of road, main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
31.	54	Jungle	0.23	2.00	The tree is with canker symptom (prone to aggravate the transplant shock), and standing within the project area. The tree is recommended for felling.
32.	55	Eucalyptus sp.	1.45	5.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing within the project area proposed for the construction of main building, and other amenities for school. In consideration to the tree / site conditions, the tree is recommended for felling.
33.	63	Seemathangadi	1.13	2.00	The tree is dried, and standing outside the project area. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
<b>Addition/Alteration to Security Boundary Wall, Air Force Station, Jalahalli, Bengaluru.</b>					
34.	64	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	1.75	2.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
35.	64/1 64/1A 64/1B	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.00 0.80 0.75	1.00 1.00 1.00	The tree is multi-forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
36.	64/2	Neem ( <i>Azadirachta indica</i> )	0.65	2.50	The tree is standing (close to the tree no. 64, and boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots, limiting the excavation of applicable root ball with proportionate roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.

37.	65 65A 65B	Indian Cherry ( <i>Cordia myxa</i> )	1.00 0.45 0.45	1.00 1.00 1.00	The tree is multi-forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
38.	66	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.70	2.00	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
39.	67 67A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.55 0.60	1.50 1.50	The tree is multi-forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
40.	68	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.60	1.50	The tree has fallen (categorised under felling).
41.	69	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.80	1.50	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
42.	69/1	Dead tree	0.80	1.50	The tree is dried, and recommended for felling.
43.	70 70A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.00 1.10	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
44.	71 71A	Bilwara ( <i>Albizia odoratissima</i> )	0.60 0.60	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the tree no. 72, and boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
45.	71/1 71/1A	Sandalwood ( <i>Santalum album</i> )	0.45 0.40	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.

46.	72	Baage ( <i>Albizia lebbbeck</i> )	2.00	2.50	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
47.	73	Eechalu	0.85	2.50	The tree is matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
48.	74 74A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.75 0.70	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
49.	75 75A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.50 0.60	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
50.	76	Neem ( <i>Azadirachta indica</i> )	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 77) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
51.	77 77A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.70 0.70	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked, and standing (close to tree no. 76) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
52.	78 78A	Rain Tree	1.05 1.00	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots, limiting the excavation of applicable root ball with proportionate roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
53.	79	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.45	1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots, limiting

	79A		0.45	1.50	the excavation of applicable root ball with proportionate roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
54.	80	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.40	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for boundary wall. The tree is decayed at the base, and recommended for felling.
55.	81 81A 81B 81C	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.80 0.70 0.55 0.80	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
56.	82 82A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.00 1.10	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
57.	82/1	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.85	1.50	The tree is matured, and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots, limiting the excavation of applicable root ball with proportionate roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
58.	83	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots, limiting the excavation of applicable root ball with proportionate roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
59.	84 84A 84B	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.50 0.80 0.30	1.00 1.00 1.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
60.	85 85A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.60 0.50	1.00 1.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
61.	86 86A 86B	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.10 0.30 0.32	1.00 1.00 1.00	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 86/1) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation

					process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
62.	86/1 86/1A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.27 0.3	1.00 1.00	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 86) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
63.	87	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 87/1) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
64.	87/1	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.20	1.50	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 87) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. The protection zone of the tree is compromised by adjacent tree, thereby prone for root damage / decay during excavation of root ball / the relocation process. Taking into consideration the tree / site condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
65.	88 88A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.45 0.45	1.00 1.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
66.	89	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	0.25	1.50	The tree is standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
67.	90 90A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.75 0.75	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
68.	91 91A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.70 0.45	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
69.	92	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.50	1.50	The tree is standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.

70.	93 93A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.00 0.70	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
71.	100	Rain tree ( <i>Samanea saman</i> )	3.60	2.00	The tree is matured, and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots, limiting the excavation of applicable root ball with proportionate roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
72.	101 101A	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	1.15 1.10	1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), matured (larger girth limiting the possibilities of excavation for relocation), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
73.	101/1	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.25	1.50	The tree is standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
74.	101/2	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.25	1.50	The tree is standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
75.	101/3	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.25	1.50	The tree is standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
76.	101/4 101/4 A 101/1 B	Sihihunase ( <i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> )	0.30 0.20 0.20	1.5 1.50 1.50	The tree is forked (with weak branch union, prone for failure), and standing (close to the boundary wall, with more probabilities of restricted roots) within the project area proposed for boundary wall. In consideration to the site / tree condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
<b>Total number of trees for removal/felling = 76 Nos.</b>					



Tree Officer &  
Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
BBMP, Bengaluru

